

KORTEWEG-DE VRIES EQUATION: A COMPLETELY INTEGRABLE HAMILTONIAN SYSTEM

V. E. Zakharov and L. D. Faddeev

The Korteweg-de Vries equation (KdV) arose long ago in an approximate theory of hydrodynamic waves,

$$u_t - 6uu_x + u_{xxx} = 0; \quad u(x, t)|_{t=0} = u(x); \quad -\infty < x < \infty; \quad u(x) \rightarrow 0, \quad |x| \rightarrow \infty; \quad (1)$$

recently it has become the object of intensive study [1-3, 12]. A group of scholars, including Gardner, Green, Zabusky, Kruskal, and Miura, has made the following two important observations:

1. Equation (1) with smooth initial data admits an infinite set of first integrals. These integrals have local densities, i.e., they are representable in the form  $I_n[u] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_n(u, u_x, \dots) dx$ , where  $P_n(u, u_x, \dots)$  is a polynomial in  $u$  and spatial derivatives of  $u$  with orders up to  $n-2$ , which contains the term  $u^n$ . The first three such polynomials have the form  $P_1(u) = u$ ,  $P_2(u) = u^2$ ,  $P_3(u, u_x) = u^3 + (u_x^2/2)$ . An explicit form for eleven of the  $P_n$  is given in [2, 3]; in [3] an explicit procedure for determining them is given. An alternate approach for determining the  $P_n(u, u_x, \dots)$  has been developed by Lax [4].

2. An explicit solution of the KdV equation can be obtained by using the formalism of a scattering problem for the Schroedinger equation,

$$-\psi_{xx} + u(x)\psi = k^2\psi. \quad (2)$$

We clarify this in more detail. If

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1 + |x|) |u(x)| dx < \infty, \quad (3)$$

then Eq. (2) has a two-fold positive continuous spectrum and a finite number of negative characteristic values  $-\kappa_l^2$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, n$ . For proof, see, for example, [5]. Let  $r(k)$  be the coefficient of reflection on the left, i.e., a function involving the solution  $\psi(x, k)$  of Eq. (2) in the asymptotics for  $x \rightarrow -\infty$ , this function being uniquely defined by the conditions

$$\psi(x, k) = e^{ikx} + r(k) e^{-ikx} + o(1), \quad x \rightarrow -\infty; \quad \psi(x, k) = t(k) e^{ikx} + o(1), \quad x \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4)$$

Further let  $\psi_l(x)$  be the characteristic functions of the discrete spectrum, normalized by the condition

$\psi_l(x) = e^{\kappa_l x} (1 + o(1))$ ,  $x \rightarrow -\infty$ , and  $c_l$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, m$ , the corresponding normalizing factors being  $c_l = \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_l^2(x) dx \right)^{-1}$ . The set  $s = (r(k), \kappa_l, c_l)$  will be called the scattering data for Eq. (2). The mapping  $u(x) \rightarrow s$  of potentials  $u(x)$  into the scattering data  $s$  is uniquely invertible. The corresponding procedure for recovering  $u(x)$  from  $s$ , which is the inverse scattering problem, was formulated for the first time in [6] and investigated rigorously in [5]. In [5] necessary and sufficient conditions on the scattering data, corresponding to potentials satisfying condition (3), were obtained.

The remarkable result given in [1] consists in the following. In the set of scattering data we consider the action of the one-parameter group

---

Institute of Nuclear Physics, Siberian Branch, Academy of Sciences of the USSR. Leningrad Branch, V. A. Steklov Mathematics Institute. Translated from *Funktsional'nyi Analiz i Ego Prilozheniya*, Vol. 5, No. 4, pp. 18-27, October-December, 1971. Original article submitted June 14, 1971.

©1972 Consultants Bureau, a division of Plenum Publishing Corporation, 227 West 17th Street, New York, N. Y. 10011. All rights reserved. This article cannot be reproduced for any purpose whatsoever without permission of the publisher. A copy of this article is available from the publisher for \$15.00.

$$r(k) \rightarrow e^{i k x_l} r(k), \quad \kappa_l \rightarrow \kappa_l, \quad c_l \rightarrow e^{8 \kappa_l^2 t} c_l. \quad (5)$$

It proves to be the case that the corresponding motion in the set of potentials  $u(x) \rightarrow u(x, t)$  determines the solution  $u(x, t)$  of the KdV equation.

In the present paper we give a new interpretation of this result and a new derivation based on it. This interpretation provides, in our opinion, a simple explanation of the somewhat puzzling conclusions given in [2].

Our interpretation may be formulated in the following way. The KdV equation is a completely integrable Hamiltonian system. The mapping  $u \rightarrow s$  plays the role of a transformation, transforming the variables  $u(x)$  into canonical variables of the type involving angle and action variables (see, for example [7]).

In order to justify these assertions we must:

1) produce a simplicial form  $\Omega$  on the set of potentials  $u(x)$  and a Hamiltonian function  $H[u]$  on this set, which generate the KdV equation according to the rules of Hamiltonian mechanics (see [8], for example);

2) calculate the preimages of the form  $\Omega$  and the Hamiltonian  $H[u]$  under the mapping  $u \rightarrow s$  and express the canonical variables in the action-angle form in terms of the scattering data.

The first problem is easily solved. It is not hard to see that the KdV equation may be written in the form

$$u_t = \frac{d}{dx} \frac{\delta I_3[u]}{\delta u(x)}, \quad (6)$$

where the symbol  $\delta H[u]/\delta u(x)$  denotes the gradient (Frechet derivative) of the function  $H[u]$ . It was pointed out in [4] that this result is due to Gardner.

The notation (6) for the KdV equation is clearly Hamiltonian. The corresponding simplicial form

$$\Omega(\delta_1 u, \delta_2 u) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \int_{-\infty}^x dy [\delta_1 u(x) \delta_2 u(y) - \delta_1 u(y) \delta_2 u(x)] \quad (7)$$

has constant coefficients in the variables  $u$  and is therefore closed. We are using here the older but more natural and, for our infinite dimensional case, more suitable coordinate notation for a differential form in terms of the "local coordinates"  $u(x)$  and their differentials, the variations  $\delta_1 u(x)$  and  $\delta_2 u(x)$ . The role of the Hamiltonian  $H[u]$  is played by the integral of motion

$$H[u] = I_3[u] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( u^3(x) + \frac{1}{2} u_x^2 \right) dx.$$

We devote the major part of this paper to a solution of the second problem. In §3 we express the Hamiltonian  $H[u]$  in terms of the scattering data in the following way:

$$H[u] = -\frac{8}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} k^4 \ln(1 - |r(k)|^2) dk - \frac{32}{5} \sum_{l=1}^m \kappa_l^5. \quad (8)$$

We will show that this expression is a special case of the formulas for traces [9, 10, 11]. Simultaneously we obtain explicit formulas for all the first integrals  $I_n[u]$ , deriving thereby simple recursion relations for the densities  $P_n(u, u_x, \dots)$ . In terms of the scattering data these integrals may be expressed by formulas analogous to Eq. (8); i.e., they involve moments of the function  $\ln(1 - |r(k)|^2)$  and powers of  $\kappa_l$ .

In §2, using the formalism of the inverse scattering problem, we express the form  $\Omega$  in terms of the scattering data and we find the corresponding canonical variables. We show, in particular, that  $P(k) = -(k/\pi) \ln(1 - |r(k)|^2)$ ,  $p_l = \kappa_l^2$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, m$ , are variables of impulse type, so that the fact of the constancy of the integrals  $I_n[u]$  becomes trivial. Solution of the Hamiltonian equations may thus be trivialized with the corresponding answer supplied by the formulas (5).

In §1, as a preliminary, we supply, without going into a detailed derivation, the necessary facts of scattering theory for the one-dimensional Schroedinger equation over the entire axis in a form suitable to our purposes.